Notification of Draft Measures Pursuant to Article 7(3) of the Directive 2002/21/EC

Section 1 - Market Definition

Please sate where applicable:

1.1	The affected relevant product/service markets. Is this market mentioned in the Recommendation on relevant markets?	The national wholesale market of radio broadcasting transmission services to deliver radio content to end users No	section 1.3
1.2	The affected relevant geographic markets	The territory of Republic of Poland	section 1.4
1.3	A brief summary of the opinion of the national competition authority where provided;	The President of Polish national competition authority generally regards the regulation as justified and had the following remarks: • The President of UKE should not make use of Recommendation on relevant product and service markets within the electronic communications sector susceptible to ex ante regulation from 2003 even as an auxiliary tool, • The point's 3.2.3. title "Price trends" is misleading because no trends were described in the project, • One of the factors was wrongly indicated as a	

1.4	A brief overview of the results of the public consultation to date on the proposed market definition (for example, how many comments were received, which respondents agreed with the proposed market definition, which respondents disagreed with it)	third criterion substantiation, • Technological advantage on the EmiTel's side is doubtful UKE received 20 opinions in the consultation process, one opinion was neutral, three against the regulation (from EmiTel, American Chamber of Commerce in Poland and Polish Chamber of Information Technology and Telecommunications) and 16 for, most of them from radio broadcasters.	
1.5	Where the defined relevant market is different from those listed in the recommendation on relevant markets, a summary of the main reasons justifying the proposed market definition by reference to Section 2 of the Commission's Guidelines on the market analysis and the assessment of significant market power ¹ , and the three main criteria mentioned in recitals 5 to 13 of the Recommendation on relevant markets and Section 2.2 of the accompanying Explanatory Note.	The defined relevant market is not listed in the current recommendation on relevant markets. However The President of UKE performed three criteria test and found out that all three criteria were met. a. the presence of high and non-transitory barriers to entry: Sunk costs of new infrastructure development are very high and some, especially high power EmiTel's sites are effectively nonreplicable. b. Market structure which does not tend towards effective competition within the relevant time horizon: EmiTel has very high and steady market share. Free	

¹ Commission guidelines on market analysis and the assessment of significant market power under the Community regulatory framework for electronic communications and services, OJ C 165, 11.7.2002, p. 6.

	market processes in absence of regulatory intervention are not effective to overcome the faulty market structure.	
C.	Insufficiency of competition law to remove the market failure	
	NCA has insufficient tools and resources to monitor and regulate very specialized relevant market. Additionally, taking into account market structure and development, ex ante and not ex post regulation is more adequate.	

Section 2 - Designation of undertakings with significant market power

Please state where applicable:

2.1	The name(s) of the undertaking(s) designated as having individually or jointly significant market power. Where applicable, the name(s) of the undertaking(s) which is (are) considered to no longer have significant market power	EmiTel sp. z o.o. with its seat in Warszawa	section 2.1
2.2	The criteria relied upon to designate an undertaking as having significant market power, individually or jointly, or not	 Market Share (decisive) Absence of technical and economic justification for building an alternative telecommunications 	section 4.1 section 4.3

		 Absence of or low Countervailing Buying Power Economies of scale Economies of scope Vertical integration Absence of potential competition Existence of the potential new entrants, barriers to further expansion of the undertakings and the relevant market Entry barriers 	section 4.7 section 4.4 section.4.5 section 4.6 section 4.8 section 4.9
2.3	The name of the main undertakings (competitors) present/active in the relevant market.	 EmiTel sp. z o.o., DIGIB sp. z o.o., Bcast sp. s o.o., Arkena sp. o o.o. 	section 2
2.4	The market shares of the undertakings mentioned above and the basis of their calculation (e.g., turnover, number of subscribers)	EmiTel sp. z o.o. – 94% other operators – 6% based on the criterion of turnover	section 3.2 point 1

Please provide a brief summary of:

2.5	The opinion of the national competition authority, where provided	With some small remarks generally positive opinion. The notified project was amended accordingly to the national competition authority's opinion.	
2.6	The results of the public consultation to date on the proposed designation(s) as undertaking(s) having significant	Decisive majority of parties which provided UKE with opinions are for the regulation (see numbers in point 1.4	section 7

market power (e.g., total number	above)	
of comments received, numbers		
agreeing/disagreeing)		

Section 3 - Regulatory Obligations

Please state where applicable:

3.1	The legal basis for the obligations to be imposed, maintained, amended or withdrawn (Articles 9 to 13 of Directive 2002/19/EC (Access Directive))	The following obligations are proposed: Inetwork access obligation – art. 12 of Access Directive (art. 34 of Polish telecommunication law) Inon discrimination obligation – art. 10 of Access Directive (art. 36 of Polish telecommunication law) Itransparency obligation – art. 9 of Access Directive (art. 37 and 42 of Polish telecommunication law) Incompared to the communication law accounting separation obligation – art. 11 of Access Directive (art. 38 of Polish telecommunication law)	section 6.4. point 1 section 6.4. point 2 section 6.4. point 3 and section 6.4 point 6
		 cost orientation of prices obligation – art. 13 of Access Directive (art. 40 of Polish telecommunication law) 	section 6.4 point 5
3.2	The reasons for which the imposition, maintenance or amendment of obligations on undertakings is considered	Such information can be found in section 6 of the decision. Proposed obligations are adequate and proportional to	

	proportional and justified in the light of the objectives laid down in Article 8 of Directive 2002/21/EC (Framework Directive). Alternatively, indicate	identified problems. The purpose of these obligations is to prevent Emitel sp. z o.o. from abusing its market power and to ensure effective market	
	the paragraphs, sections or pages	competition. Remedies applied	
	of the draft measure where such	are also technologically neutral.	
	information is to be found		
3.3	Where the remedies proposed	Not applicable	
	are other than those set out in		
	Articles 9 to 13 of Directive		
	2002/19/EC (Access Directive),		
	please indicate what are the		
	'exceptional circumstances'		
	within the meaning of Article 8(3)		
	of that directive justify the		
	imposition of such remedies.		
	Alternatively, indicate the		
	paragraphs, sections or pages of		
	the draft measure where such		
	information is to be found		

Section 4 - Compliance with international obligations

In relation to the third indent of the first subparagraph of Article 8(3) of Directive 2002/19/EC (Access Directive), please state where applicable:

4.1	Whether the proposed draft	Not applicable	
	measure intends to impose,		
	amend or withdraw obligations		
	on market players as provided for		
	in Article 8(5) of Directive		
	2002/19/EC (Access Directive)		
4.2	The name(s) of the undertaking(s)	Not applicable	
	concerned		
4.3	What international commitments	Not applicable	
	entered into by the Community		
	and the Member States are to be		
	met		